	Case 2:14-cv-01512-LDG-NJK Document 19	-lied 12/17/14 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	WILLIAM BRIDGE,	) )
11	Plaintiff(s),	Case No. 2:14-cv-01512-LDG-NJK
12	VS.	ORDER SETTING HEARING
13	CREDIT ONE FINANCIAL,	(Docket No. 18)
14	Defendant(s).	) )
15	Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan and scheduling order filed	
16	on December 16, 2014. Docket No. 18. Previously, Plaintiff filed his own proposed discovery plan	
17	and scheduling order, which was denied without prejudice. Docket Nos. 16, 17. On December 9	
18	2014, the Court ordered the parties to meet and confer and submit a stipulated discovery plan that	
19	complies with the Local Rules. Docket No. 17. The parties' current plan fails to comply with Local	
20	Rule 26-1(d), in that the parties have failed to stipulate to the dates. Docket No. 18. Additionally	
21	the Court specifically stated in its prior order that Plaintiff's proposed discovery plan misstated Loca	
22	Rule 26-4. Docket No. 17, at 1-2. Nonetheless, the parties subsequently submitted the pending	
23	proposed discovery plan and scheduling order which, again, misstates Local Rule 26-4. Docket No	
24	18, at 3, 6-7.	
25		
26		
27	1"Third, Plaintiff's proposed discovery plan misstates Local Rule 26-4, in that it provide that requests to extend deadlines in the scheduling order need only be filed 20 days before the discovery cut-off. See Docket No. 16 at 5. Local Rule 26-4 requires that any request to extend	
28		

deadlines set forth in the scheduling order must be submitted at least 21 days before the subject deadline." Docket No. 17, at 1-2.

## Case 2:14-cv-01512-LDG-NJK Document 19 Filed 12/17/14 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly,	
2	IT IS ORDERED:	
3	1. The Court hereby <b>SETS</b> a hearing on the parties' proposed discovery plan and scheduling	
4	order (Docket No. 18) for December 19, 2014, at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom 3B.	
5	2. Alternatively, the filing of a stipulated proposed discovery plan, that complies with the	
6	Local Rules, by that date will suffice to vacate this hearing.	
7	3. In an effort to ensure future compliance and complete understanding of the Local Rules	
8	the Court hereby ORDERS attorneys Leonard Stone, Adam Levitt, Kyle McGee, Patrick	
9	Reilly, and Brian Anderson to file a certification with the Court no later than 12:00 p.m. on	
10	December 19, 2014, indicating that they have read and comprehend Local Rules 26-1 and	
11	26-4. Counsel are advised that similar violations in the future may result in the imposition	
12	of sanctions.	
13	DATED: December 17, 2014	
14		
15		
16	NANCY I VODD	
17	United States Magistrate Judge	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		